

Redefining Endometrial Receptivity

John Aplin

Maternal and Fetal Health Research Group
Manchester Academic Health Sciences Centre
University of Manchester
Manchester, UK

Endometrial receptivity is conditional, requiring an escape mechanism sensitive to maternal and embryonic status. Several decision points are identified in early pregnancy at which cooperative progression or conservative failure may be negotiated between the maternal and embryonic systems. Different endometrial and decidual cell populations play various roles depending on the timing and location of the respective interactions they mediate.

The action of the steroid hormones estrogen and progesterone on receptors in endometrial cells is classically situated at the top of a cascade of events that leads to the endometrium becoming receptive to an implanting embryo (1). Beneath the master transcriptional regulators ER and PR lie numerous subsidiary transcription factors, including androgen receptor (AR), C/EBP β , COMP-TF2*, FKBP52*, FKHR*, HoxA10, HoxA11, Hmx3, KLF9/BTEB, NCOA1/SRC1*, NCOA2/SRC2*, PPAR γ , PPAR δ and snail (2, 3). Some of these (*) associate with the progesterone receptor to form a larger transcriptional complex, while others appear to act independently (3). The AR activates a subset of genes that is smaller than, and quite distinct from, PR gene targets (4). A large number of diffusible components including hormones, growth factors, cytokines and chemokines are activated by transcription factors to coincide with the timing of implantation; of these, only a small subset has been functionally verified (2).

However, the notion of receptivity as a static state in which the tissue is poised, frozen in time, awaiting the arrival of an adequately developed embryo, is naïve. An integrative definition of receptivity must embrace communication between maternal and embryonic tissues. At receptivity, the endometrium has reached a point at which negotiation can begin that may or may not lead to successful implantation, placentation, development and growth of a viable conceptus.

Global transcriptomic comparison of receptive and non-receptive endometrium has undergone refinement to identify signalling pathways that may operate in different cell compartments (5). The Wnt pathway is operative at several stages of implantation including blastocyst activation, juxtacrine signalling at the epithelial stages and later interaction with deciduas (6). Chemokine pathways are implicated (7) as are prostaglandins (8), cannabinoids (9), lysophosphatidic acid (10), HB-EGF (11) and others.

The idea that the initial interaction between trophoblast and apical uterine epithelium might either mediate or impede a molecular cascade leading to implantation (12-16) came from observations suggesting that embryos would attach freely to stromal cells or extracellular matrix (17), but not to epithelial cells outside the receptive phase, and led to a hypothesis that the luminal epithelium resists attachment until the mid-secretory phase.

Address for correspondence : 5th Research Floor, St Mary's Hospital, Manchester M13 9WL, UK.
Phone : +44 161 276 6487; E-mail : john.aplin@manchester.ac.uk

Luminal epithelium has a transcriptome and other phenotypic features that are distinct from the glandular epithelium (18-21). The effort to establish the molecular basis of adhesion is an active current area of research (2, 14, 22). Based on animal models and in vitro studies of human embryos, MUC-1 in the apical glycocalyx appears to be cleared from the epithelium at the time of attachment, though the mechanism differs between species (13, 22). In human, epithelial cells cocultured with embryos show enhanced levels of MUC-1 in the presence of developmentally arresting embryos. At attachment sites local MUC1 clearance occurs, apparently as a result of signalling from the embryo (23). MUC16, another transmembrane mucin with anti-adhesive properties, is cleared under maternal control from apical protrusions known as uterodomes in the mid secretory phase (24).

The epithelial glycocalyx might offer an initial attachment site, but it also poses a barrier to implantation progression such that a fraction of embryos is excluded (25, 26). Chromosomally abnormal blastocysts attach efficiently to cultured endometrial stromal cells (27), but their capacity to adhere in vitro to epithelial cells is untested. If indeed selection occurs at the epithelial stage, it is at best an inefficient process – monosomic embryos, though they may develop to form blastocysts (28) are not observed post-implantation, but many trisomic and mosaic embryos progress, only to fail in the following few weeks. Similarly, in *sycp3*^{-/-} mice which produce over half aneuploid embryos, most pregnancy losses occur after implantation in the postgastrulation period (E7-E8). Conceptuses that survive to day 12.5 are uniformly normal (27).

Currently available data suggest that the onset of receptivity in human endometrium may be controlled by adhesion systems in the luminal epithelium, but that other cell compartments are at least as important in the spectrum of pregnancy loss. Given the high rates of aneuploidy and post-implantation

demise in human, the concept remains attractive that quality control is exerted on embryos by means of a dialogue with the endometrium at implantation and during early placental development (25). Evidence that gene expression in the pregnant endometrium is influenced by embryonic phenotype has been gathered from gene array studies comparing endometrial signatures in pregnancies with cloned bovine conceptuses from single cell nuclear transfer [associated with high rates of placental abnormality (29)] and those with embryos conceived after fertilisation in vitro or by donor insemination. Differences observed in signalling and immune pathways implicate the endometrium as a sensor of developmental potential (30, 31). In vitro models of human implantation comparing the phenotype of endometrial cells exposed to normally developing or arresting embryos also show differences consistent with this function (23, 32).

Adverse maternal systemic influences on placentation can include nutrition (33), immune and complement-mediated effects such as antiphospholipid antibody toxicity (34, 35), the pre-eclampsia-like effects of autoantibodies to the angiotensin receptor AT1 (36, 37) and possibly antibodies to placental transglutaminase (38, 39) in celiac disease (40). Until recently relatively little was known of the influence of the local uterine environment on placental development and pregnancy outcome (41). However, it is now becoming possible to inquire what roles the various cell populations of the endometrium play in sensing and quality control. Stromal decidualisation and the attendant vascular remodelling clearly have an important influence on placental development in both mouse and man (41, 42). The maternal LIF-null mouse, in which implantation fails apparently as a result of a decidualisation disorder (43, 44), has been an influential paradigm. In human, chorionic gonadotrophin derived from trophoblast stimulates decidual prokineticin 1 which in turn increases LIF production (45). Downstream of the LIF receptor,

stat-3 phosphorylation is a key signalling event in stromal decidualisation in mouse, is important in implantation, and is a potential contraceptive target (46-48). Evidence has been obtained using genetically altered mice (49, 50) that an interferon-regulated gene, *Isg15*, is upregulated in antimesometrial but not mesometrial decidual tissue as a result of stimulation by adjacent trophoblast giant cells.

Before blood circulation is established in the labyrinth (mouse) or the intervillous space (human), placental development requires decidochorial histiotrophic support. Insulin-like growth factors are important for normal growth of the conceptus (51), and both maternally- and placentally-derived IGFs are capable of enhancing early placental growth (52-54). The IGF binding protein IGFBP-1, which restricts the bioavailability of IGF by competing with its receptor, is a decidual secretory product whose overexpression in mouse leads to altered placental morphogenesis with changed allocations of cells to various trophoblast lineages, and reduced fetal growth (55). In turn IGFBP-1 may be broken down by local MMP-3 and MMP-9 or protected by association with α 2-macroglobulin (56, 57).

In human, vascular remodelling begins with subtle morphological changes that occur independent of the presence of a conceptus (58). In early pregnancy, maternal uNK cells and macrophages invade the arterial walls in advance of the infiltration of trophoblast, but this only occurs if a conceptus is present, suggesting a possible chemoattractant of placental origin. In a paradigmatic example of cooperation, this is followed by the migration of trophoblast into the vessel walls and full physiological transformation (59). This process is specific to the pregnant uterine vascular bed; human trophoblasts introduced experimentally into post-menopausal spiral arteries, or vessels from other tissue beds, do not infiltrate the media (60). There is also emerging evidence that lymphangiogenesis in the maternal environment is stimulated by trophoblast (61).

In mice, uNK and dendritic cells are crucial for development of the decidual vascular bed (62, 63), and regulatory T cells are required to suppress an allogeneic response to the fetus (64). The motility and phenotypic plasticity of the major decidual leukocyte populations as well as the presence on uNK cells of polymorphic KIR receptors that bind HLA C, which is expressed on extravillous trophoblast, implicate these cells in a dialogue that might lead either to a successful outcome or to spontaneous pregnancy loss (65).

In conclusion, it is becoming clear that a series of checkpoints exists for pregnancy viability. Cooperation between maternal and embryonic systems is required either for progression towards the delivery of healthy offspring at term, or alternatively for conservative failure – the loss of a non-viable conceptus with minimal adverse consequences for the mother. The earlier this can be achieved, the smaller the maternal resource wastage.

References

1. Psychoyos A. Uterine receptivity for nidation. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1986; 476: 36–42.
2. Aplin JD, Singh H. Bioinformatics and transcriptomics studies of early implantation. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2008; 1127: 116–120.
3. Lee KY, Jeong JW, Tsai SY, Lydon JP, DeMayo FJ. Mouse models of implantation. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 2007; 18: 234–239.
4. Cloke B, Huhtinen K, Fusi L, Kajihara T, Yliheikkilä M, Ho KK, Teklenburg G, Lavery S, Jones MC, Trew G *et al.* The androgen and progesterone receptors regulate distinct gene networks and cellular functions in decidualizing endometrium. *Endocrinology* 2008; 149: 4462–4474.
5. Giudice LC, Talbi S, Hamilton A, Lessey BA. Transcriptomics. In: *The Endometrium: Molecular, cellular and clinical perspectives*. Edited by Aplin JD, Fazleabas AT, Glasser SR, Giudice LC, 2nd edn. London, UK: Informa Healthcare; 2008; 193–222.
6. Chen Q, Zhang Y, Lu J, Wang Q, Wang S, Cao Y, Wang H, Duan E. Embryo-uterine cross-talk

- during implantation: the role of Wnt signaling. *Mol Hum Reprod* 2009; 15: 215–221.
7. Dominguez F, Simon C. Embryo-endoemtrial signalling. In: *The Endometrium: Molecular, cellular and clinical perspectives*. Edited by Aplin JD, Fazleabas AT, Glasser SR, Giudice LC, 2nd edn. London, UK: Informa Healthcare; 2008; 296–304.
 8. Wang H, Ma WG, Tejada L, Zhang H, Morrow JD, Das SK, Dey SK. Rescue of female infertility from the loss of cyclooxygenase-2 by compensatory up-regulation of cyclooxygenase-1 is a function of genetic makeup. *J Biol Chem* 2004; 279: 10649–10658.
 9. Wang H, Xie H, Sun X, Kingsley PJ, Marnett LJ, Cravatt BF, Dey SK. Differential regulation of endocannabinoid synthesis and degradation in the uterus during embryo implantation. *Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat* 2007; 83: 62–74.
 10. Ye X, Hama K, Contos JJ, Anliker B, Inoue A, Skinner MK, Suzuki H, Amano T, Kennedy G, Arai H *et al*. LPA3-mediated lysophosphatidic acid signalling in embryo implantation and spacing. *Nature* 2005; 435: 104–108.
 11. Xie H, Wang H, Tranguch S, Iwamoto R, Mekada E, Demayo FJ, Lydon JP, Das SK, Dey SK. Maternal heparin-binding-EGF deficiency limits pregnancy success in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007; 104: 18315–18320.
 12. Aplin JD. MUC-1 glycosylation in endometrium: possible roles of the apical glycocalyx at implantation. *Hum Reprod* 1999; 14 (Suppl 2): 17–25.
 13. Aplin JD, Seif MW, Graham RA, Hey NA, Behzad F, Campbell S. The endometrial cell surface and implantation. Expression of the polymorphic mucin MUC-1 and adhesion molecules during the endometrial cycle. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1994; 734: 103–121.
 14. Aplin JD. Embryo implantation: the molecular mechanism remains elusive. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2006; 13: 833–839.
 15. Jones CJ, Aplin JD. Reproductive glycogenetics - a critical factor in pregnancy success and species hybridisation. *Placenta* 2009; 30: 216–219.
 16. Jones CJ, Aplin JD. Glycosylation at the fetomaternal interface: does the glycode play a critical role in implantation? *Glycoconj J* 2009; 26: 359–366.
 17. Carver J, Martin K, Spyropoulou I, Barlow D, Sargent I, Mardon H. An in-vitro model for stromal invasion during implantation of the human blastocyst. *Hum Reprod* 2003; 18: 283–290.
 18. Dockery P, Burke MJ. The fine structure of the mature human endometrium. In: *The Endometrium*. Edited by Aplin JD, Fazleabas AT, Glasser SR, Giudice LC, 2nd edn. London: Informa Healthcare; 2008; 46–65.
 19. Niklaus AL, Pollard JW. Mining the mouse transcriptome of receptive endometrium reveals distinct molecular signatures for the luminal and glandular epithelium. *Endocrinology* 2006; 147: 3375–3390.
 20. Campbell EA, O'Hara L, Catalano RD, Sharkey AM, Freeman TC, Johnson MH. Temporal expression profiling of the uterine luminal epithelium of the pseudo-pregnant mouse suggests receptivity to the fertilized egg is associated with complex transcriptional changes. *Hum Reprod* 2006; 21: 2495–2513.
 21. Quenby S, Anim-Somuah M, Kalumbi C, Farquharson R, Aplin JD. Different types of recurrent miscarriage are associated with varying patterns of adhesion molecule expression in endometrium. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2007; 14: 224–234.
 22. Aplin JD, Kimber SJ. Trophoblast-uterine interactions at implantation. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2004; 2: 48.
 23. Meseguer M, Aplin JD, Caballero-Campo P, O'Connor JE, Martin JC, Remohi J, Pellicer A, Simon C. Human endometrial mucin MUC1 is up-regulated by progesterone and down-regulated in vitro by the human blastocyst. *Biol Reprod* 2001; 64: 590–601.
 24. Gipson IK, Blalock T, Tisdale A, Spurr-Michaud S, Allcorn S, Stavreus-Evers A, Gemzell K. MUC16 is lost from the uterodome (pinopode) surface of the receptive human endometrium: in vitro evidence that MUC16 is a barrier to trophoblast adherence. *Biol Reprod* 2008; 78: 134–142.
 25. Quenby S, Vince G, Farquharson R, Aplin J. Recurrent miscarriage: a defect in nature's quality control? *Hum Reprod* 2002; 17: 1959–1963.

26. Singh H, Nardo L, Kimber SJ, Aplin JD. An in vitro model of early implantation events. In: *13th International-Federation-of-Placenta-Association Meeting: Aug 17-22 2007; Kingston, CANADA*: W B Saunders Co Ltd; 2007; A48-A48.
27. Lightfoot DA, Kouznetsova A, Mahdy E, Wilbertz J, Hoog C. The fate of mosaic aneuploid embryos during mouse development. *Dev Biol* 2006; 289: 384-394.
28. Rubio C, Rodrigo L, Mercader A, Mateu E, Buendia P, Pehlivan T, Vilorio T, De los Santos MJ, Simon C, Remohi J *et al.* Impact of chromosomal abnormalities on preimplantation embryo development. *Prenat Diagn* 2007; 27: 748-756.
29. Everts RE, Chavatte-Palmer P, Razzak A, Hue I, Green CA, Oliveira R, Vignon X, Rodriguez-Zas SL, Tian XC, Yang X *et al.* Aberrant gene expression patterns in placentomes are associated with phenotypically normal and abnormal cattle cloned by somatic cell nuclear transfer. *Physiol Genomics* 2008; 33: 65-77.
30. Bauersachs S, Ulbrich SE, Zakhartchenko V, Minten M, Reichenbach M, Reichenbach HD, Blum H, Spencer TE, Wolf E. The endometrium responds differently to cloned versus fertilized embryos. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009; 106: 5681-5686.
31. Mansouri-Attia N, Sandra O, Aubert J, Degrelle S, Everts RE, Giraud-Delville C, Heyman Y, Galio L, Hue I, Yang X *et al.* Endometrium as an early sensor of in vitro embryo manipulation technologies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009; 106: 5687-5692.
32. Teklenburg G, Heijnen CJ, Baart EB, Kavelaars A, Brosens JJ, Macklon NS. The Human Embryo Modulates Endometrial Gene Expression during Early Implantation. In: *56th Annual Meeting of the Society-for-Gynecologic-Investigation: March 17-21 2009; Glasgow, SCOTLAND*; 2009; 1049.
33. Watkins AJ, Ursell E, Panton R, Papenbrock T, Hollis L, Cunningham C, Wilkins A, Perry VH, Sheth B, Kwong WY *et al.* Adaptive responses by mouse early embryos to maternal diet protect fetal growth but predispose to adult onset disease. *Biol Reprod* 2008; 78: 299-306.
34. Girardi G, Berman J, Redecha P, Spruce L, Thurman JM, Kraus D, Hollmann TJ, Casali P, Carroll MC, Wetsel RA *et al.* Complement C5a receptors and neutrophils mediate fetal injury in the antiphospholipid syndrome. *J Clin Invest* 2003; 112: 1644-1654.
35. Francis J, Rai R, Sebire NJ, El-Gaddal S, Fernandes MS, Jindal P, Lokugamage A, Regan L, Brosens JJ. Impaired expression of endometrial differentiation markers and complement regulatory proteins in patients with recurrent pregnancy loss associated with antiphospholipid syndrome. *Mol Hum Reprod* 2006; 12: 435-442.
36. Xia Y, Zhou CC, Ramin SM, Kellems RE. Angiotensin receptors, autoimmunity, and preeclampsia. *J Immunol* 2007; 179: 3391-3395.
37. Zhou CC, Zhang Y, Irani RA, Zhang H, Mi T, Popek EJ, Hicks MJ, Ramin SM, Kellems RE, Xia Y. Angiotensin receptor agonistic autoantibodies induce pre-eclampsia in pregnant mice. *Nat Med* 2008; 14: 855-862.
38. Robinson NJ, Baker PN, Jones CJ, Aplin JD. A role for tissue transglutaminase in stabilization of membrane-cytoskeletal particles shed from the human placenta. *Biol Reprod* 2007; 77: 648-657.
39. Robinson NJ, Glazier JD, Greenwood SL, Baker PN, Aplin JD. Tissue transglutaminase expression and activity in placenta. *Placenta* 2006; 27: 148-157.
40. Anjum N, Baker PN, Robinson NJ, Aplin JD. Maternal celiac disease autoantibodies bind directly to syncytiotrophoblast and inhibit placental tissue transglutaminase activity. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2009; 7: 16.
41. Aplin JD. Implantation, trophoblast differentiation and haemochorial placentation: mechanistic evidence in vivo and in vitro. *J Cell Sci* 1991; 99: 681-692.
42. Aplin JD. Glycans as biochemical markers of human endometrial secretory differentiation. *J Reprod Fertil* 1991; 91: 525-541.
43. Fouladi-Nashta AA, Jones CJ, Nijjar N, Mohamet L, Smith A, Chambers I, Kimber SJ. Characterization of the uterine phenotype during the peri-implantation period for LIF-null, MF1 strain mice. *Dev Biol* 2005; 281: 1-21.
44. Stewart CL, Kaspar P, Brunet LJ, Bhatt H, Gadi I, Kontgen F, Abbondanzo SJ. Blastocyst implantation depends on maternal expression of leukaemia inhibitory factor. *Nature* 1992; 359: 76-79.

45. Evans J, Catalano RD, Brown P, Sherwin R, Critchley HO, Fazleabas AT, Jabbour HN. Prokineticin 1 mediates fetal-maternal dialogue regulating endometrial leukemia inhibitory factor. *FASEB J* 2009; 23: 2165–2175.
46. Wang H, Dey SK. Roadmap to embryo implantation: clues from mouse models. *Nat Rev Genet* 2006; 7: 185–199.
47. Catalano RD, Johnson MH, Campbell EA, Charnock-Jones DS, Smith SK, Sharkey AM. Inhibition of Stat3 activation in the endometrium prevents implantation: a nonsteroidal approach to contraception. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005; 102: 8585–8590.
48. Nakamura H, Kimura T, Koyama S, Ogita K, Tsutsui T, Shimoya K, Taniguchi T, Koyama M, Kaneda Y, Murata Y. Mouse model of human infertility: transient and local inhibition of endometrial STAT-3 activation results in implantation failure. *FEBS Lett* 2006; 580: 2717–2722.
49. Austin KJ, Bany BM, Belden EL, Rempel LA, Cross JC, Hansen TR. Interferon-stimulated gene-15 (Isg15) expression is up-regulated in the mouse uterus in response to the implanting conceptus. *Endocrinology* 2003; 144: 3107–3113.
50. Bany BM, Cross JC. Post-implantation mouse conceptuses produce paracrine signals that regulate the uterine endometrium undergoing decidualization. *Dev Biol* 2006; 294: 445–456.
51. Forbes K, Westwood M. The IGF axis and placental function. a mini review. *Horm Res* 2008; 69: 129–137.
52. Lacey H, Haigh T, Westwood M, Aplin JD. Mesenchymally-derived insulin-like growth factor 1 provides a paracrine stimulus for trophoblast migration. *BMC Dev Biol* 2002; 2: 5.
53. Forbes K, Westwood M, Baker PN, Aplin JD. Insulin-like growth factor I and II regulate the life cycle of trophoblast in the developing human placenta. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2008; 294: C1313–C1322.
54. Sibley CP, Coan PM, Ferguson-Smith AC, Dean W, Hughes J, Smith P, Reik W, Burton GJ, Fowden AL, Constancia M. Placental-specific insulin-like growth factor 2 (Igf2) regulates the diffusional exchange characteristics of the mouse placenta. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004; 101: 8204–8208.
55. Crossey PA, Pillai CC, Miell JP. Altered placental development and intrauterine growth restriction in IGF binding protein-1 transgenic mice. *J Clin Invest* 2002; 110: 411–418.
56. Coppock HA, White A, Aplin JD, Westwood M. Matrix metalloprotease-3 and -9 proteolyze insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-1. *Biol Reprod* 2004; 71: 438–443.
57. Westwood M, Aplin JD, Collinge IA, Gill A, White A, Gibson JM. alpha 2-Macroglobulin: a new component in the insulin-like growth factor/insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 axis. *J Biol Chem* 2001; 276: 41668–41674.
58. Craven CM, Morgan T, Ward K. Decidual spiral artery remodelling begins before cellular interaction with cytotrophoblasts. *Placenta* 1998; 19: 241–252.
59. Smith SD, Dunk CE, Aplin JD, Harris LK, Jones RL. Evidence for Immune Cell Involvement in Decidual Spiral Arteriole Remodeling in Early Human Pregnancy. *Am J Pathol* 2009; 174: 1959–1971.
60. Crocker IP, Wareing M, Ferris GR, Jones CJ, Cartwright JE, Baker PN, Aplin JD. The effect of vascular origin, oxygen, and tumour necrosis factor alpha on trophoblast invasion of maternal arteries in vitro. *J Pathol* 2005; 206: 476–485.
61. Red-Horse K, Rivera J, Schanz A, Zhou Y, Winn V, Kapidzic M, Maltepe E, Okazaki K, Kochman R, Vo KC *et al.* Cytotrophoblast induction of arterial apoptosis and lymphangiogenesis in an in vivo model of human placentation. *J Clin Invest* 2006; 116: 2643–2652.
62. Plaks V, Birnberg T, Berkutzi T, Sela S, BenYashar A, Kalchenko V, Mor G, Keshet E, Dekel N, Neeman M *et al.* Uterine DCs are crucial for decidua formation during embryo implantation in mice. *J Clin Invest* 2008; 118: 3954–3965.
63. Croy BA, Esadeg S, Chantakru S, van den Heuvel M, Paffaro VA, He H, Black GP, Ashkar AA, Kiso Y, Zhang J. Update on pathways regulating the activation of uterine Natural Killer cells, their interactions with decidual spiral arteries and homing of their precursors to the uterus. *J Reprod Immunol* 2003; 59: 175–191.
64. Aluvihare VR, Kallikourdis M, Betz AG. Regulatory T cells mediate maternal tolerance to the fetus. *Nat Immunol* 2004; 5: 266–271.
65. Moffett A, Loke C. Immunology of placentation in eutherian mammals. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2006; 6: 584–594.